



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,574	12/07/2001	Roger J. Leyden	Roger J. Leyden 2011048		
7.	590 08/19/2002				
Richard D. Ha	arris	EXAMINER			
181 W. Madiso		RIS -	MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS		
Chicago, IL 6	0602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632	-	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 2 3 3				
	•	Application	ı No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/016,574	ļ		LEYDEN ET AL.	
		Examiner			Art Unit	V
		Naschica S		* -	3632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover si	neet with the d	correspondence addre	9SS
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever oly within the statut I will apply and will te, cause the applic	nt, however ory minimu expire SIX cation to be	r, may a reply be tinum of thirty (30) day (6) MONTHS from	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	December 2	<u> 201</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is r	non-fina	il.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims					merits is
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from con	siderati	on.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	quireme	ent.		
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{12/7/01}$ is/are: a) \square ac					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		-		oved by the Examiner.	
□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		ice actio	n.		
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority und	der 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
a)	D☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT I	Rule 17	.2(a)).		age
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority un	der 35	U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
	a) The translation of the foreign language particle. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic.					
Attachme						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>5</u> .	5) 🔲 N		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-	

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for serial number 10/016,574, Universal camera mount, filed on December 7, 2001. Claims 1-18 are pending.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 11, line 17, "holes 4" should be --holes 5--; on page 14, line 13 delete "on" before "symmetrically". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 4 insert -- . -- after "member". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 21 insert --,-- after "thereof". Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 4-4 on page 10, line 3. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the electronic circuit board must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims 7 and 17. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,615,597 to Burriss in view of U.S. Patent 6,380,855 to Ott and further in view of U.S. Patent 5,565,848 to Leyden et al. (Leyden). With regards to claims 1-18, Burriss discloses a mount assembly (see attached marked copy of Fig. 2) comprising: a mounting member (16) including an upper surface, a lower surface, a sensor region (B) including apertures (18), a first plurality (A) of apertures (18) arranged in a first aperture region on one side of the sensor region (B), a second plurality (C) of apertures (18) arranged in a second aperture region on an opposite side of the sensor region (B); the first and second plurality of apertures (A, C) being adapted for engagement with

Art Unit: 3632

threaded fasteners (20) to secure an article (12) thereto and each comprising at least three apertures with at least one of the three apertures not in linear alignment with at least two of the other at least three apertures, the first and second plurality of apertures (A,C) including a two dimensional array of at least two rows of apertures and at least two columns of apertures, each of the at least three apertures extending from the upper surface to the lower surface for restrainable yet reorientable attachment of the mounting member (16) to an article (12); the reorientable attachment extending into at least two substantially intersecting directions of movement amongst each of first and second aperture regions for mounting the article in at least one preferred attachment position in at least one of the first and second aperture regions. Burriss does not disclose the apertures (18) being threaded. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apertures to be threaded as a well-known alternative means for securing threaded fasteners therein. Burriss does not disclose the mount assembly including an anti-theft sensor attached thereto or the article being secured to the mount by a secondary fastener. Ott teaches a mount assembly comprising an anti-theft sensor (59,61,65,63) for contacting an article (12) to be monitored, the sensor being attached to a mounting member (14,40) having double sided adhesive on a top surface thereof for securing to the article. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mount assembly to include an anti-theft sensor attached to the mounting member for contact with the article because one would have been motivated to safeguard the article against theft as taught by Ott (Abstract, lines 1-3). Additionally,

Art Unit: 3632

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mount assembly by providing double sided adhesive in addition to the threaded fasteners (20) for mounting the article to the mounting member (16) because one would have been motivated to provide an additional, equivalent means for securing the article on the mount as inherently taught by Ott.

Burriss in view of Ott as applied above does not disclose the anti-theft sensor including a housing fixedly attached to the mounting member. Leyden teaches an antitheft sensor (Fig. 1) comprising: a housing (at 18) having an interior region and an upper surface (28) having double-sided adhesive (30) thereon, the housing configured to be fixedly attached to a mount; a biased switch member (22; col. 5, lines 4-5) for contacting an article to be monitored, the switch oriented substantially normal to the external surface of the article; an electronic circuit board contained within the interior region of the housing (col. 5, lines 12-14); a signal (14) indicating the presence or absence of operable monitored contact between the switch member (22) and article surface; and a signal transmission medium (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mount assembly of Burriss in view of Ott by substituting the sensor of Leyden as an equivalent, alternative anti-theft mechanism as inherently taught by Leyden. Regarding claim 17, Burriss in view of Ott in view of Leyden does not disclose the anti-theft sensor fixedly attached to the mounting member by a fastener having a threaded shank. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the mounting assembly by securing the anti-theft sensor to the

Art Unit: 3632

mounting member with a threaded fastener as a well known means for permanently

attaching the sensor to the mounting member.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure:

5039050 to Eidschum et al; 5146205 to Keifer et al; 5172098 to Leyden et al;

5241297 to Goodman; 5341124 to Leyden et al; 5446252 to Burger;

5574430 to Ott et al; 5861807 to Leyden et al; 5886633 to Adams

The above references disclose mounting assemblies and security devices relevant to

Applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Naschica S. Morrison, whose telephone number is (703)

305-0228. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156. The fax machine telephone

number for the Technology Center is (703) 872-9326 (formal amendments) or (703)

872-9327 (After Final amendments).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should

be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at (703) 872-9325.

Naschica S. Morrison

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 3632

8/12/02

ANITA KING

PRIMARY EVALUED

Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

Notice Regarding Treatment of Irradiated Correspondence

The following papers have not been made part of the permanent records of the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) for this application (37 CFR 1.52(a)) because of damage from the United States Postal Service irradiation process:

Mailroom Stamp Date	Certificate of Mailing Date
6/5/02	5/24/02

The above-identified papers, however, were not so damaged as to preclude the USPTO from making a legible copy of such papers. Therefore, the Office has made a copy of these papers, substituted them for the originals in the file, and stamped that copy:

COPY	OF PA	APERS
ORIGI	NALLY	FILED

If applicant wants to review the accuracy of the Office's copy of such papers, applicant may either inspect the application (37 CFR 1.14(d)) or may request a copy of the Office's records of such papers (*i.e.*, a copy of the copy made by the Office) from the Office of Public Records for the fee specified in 37 CFR 1.19(b)(4). Please do **not** call the Technology Center's Customer Service Center to inquiry about the completeness or accuracy of Office's copy of the above-identified papers, as the Technology Center's Customer Service Center will **not** be able to provide this service.

If applicant does not consider the Office's copy of such papers to be accurate, applicant must provide a copy of the above-identified papers (except for any U.S. or foreign patent documents submitted with the above-identified papers) with a statement that such copy is a complete and accurate copy of the originally submitted documents. If applicant provides such a copy of the above-identified papers and statement within **THREE MONTHS** of the mail date of this Office action, the Office will add the original mailroom date and use the copy provided by applicant as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers in place of the copy made by the Office. Otherwise, the Office's copy will be used as the permanent Office record of the above-identified papers (*i.e.*, the Office will use the copy of the above-identified papers made by the Office for examination and all other purposes). This three-month period is not extendable.

